







EU Soil Protection Framework Directive

Current state of affairs and Austrian position Monika Stangl, Division III 9











lebensministerium.at

Presentation of the <u>package of policies for soil protection</u> by the European Commission on 22 September 2006:

- Impact Assessment,
- Thematic Strategy for Soil Protection
- Proposal for a framework directive
- Intensive discussions
 - on expert level in the Council working parties
 - on political level
 - at the Council of Ministers meetings
 - in the Committees of the EU Parliament
 - in the Committee of the Regions



- Portuguese Presidency:
 - Efforts toward a political agreement but blocking minority of D, NL, GB, FR, and A
- Subsequent Presidencies (D, SLO and FR): Discussions – no endeavor to achieve political agreement
- Czech Presidency:

Potential political agreement at the Council of the Environment Ministers in June 2009



Text modified several times:

- Portuguese Presidency Proposals
 many detailed regulations
- French Presidency Proposals
 more flexibility for MS
- Czech Presidency Proposals
 - also rather detailed provisions



- Duty of care for all land users
- Identification of risk areas for the main hazards erosion, decline in organic matter, compaction, salinisation, acidification, and landslides
- Preparation of programmes of measures
- Obligations when dealing with contaminated sites



- Taking account of soil protection in other fields of policy
- Setting up of a platform for the exchange of information
- Laying down sanctions
- Reporting duties
- Legal and administrative provisions

Austrian position on the Proposal of a Directive establishing a framework for the protection of soil

- Soil protection in Austria an important topic
- In spite of that Austria is principally <u>against</u> a framework directive – protection of the soil should remain within the scope of responsibility of the individual Member States!



- Great financial and administrative burden
- Provisions too detailed for a "framework directive"
- Unclear wording of the articles
- National measures might become obsolete

Main point of criticism concerning the Proposal for a Directive (Chapter II)

- Rejection of priority areas
 - Identification of areas involves enormous administrative and financial burden
 - Problem of identification level of parcels or larger size (many land users who are not affected by the risk)
 - Sanctions level of parcels?
 - Devaluation of areas located within risk areas
 - Identification of areas not yet value added for soil protection – takes years
 - Measures outside the areas? area-wide and preventive soil protection important to Austria

Further schedule

lebensministerium.at

Depends on:

- Results of the Czech Presidency as well as on
- the willingness of the European Commission to consider the points of criticism raised by Member States